

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Alex Kennett](#); [Mike Flaughner](#); [Helen Chapman](#); [Dorsey Moore](#); [Vicki Alexander](#); [Mike Potter](#); [Kalvin Gill](#); [Clerk DL](#); [Kellie Guerra](#); [Caroline Hernandez](#); [Kimi Shigetani](#); [Chris Chaffee](#); [cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com](mailto:cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Question Regarding the Redistricting Process  
**Date:** Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:02:35 PM

---

Dear Deputy Clerk of the Board Caroline Hernandez:

I have a question regarding the redistricting process.

Quoting from an email sent earlier today:

*List of Map Errors (Not Necessarily a Complete List)*

*The maps for the ten plans all have block 2006 of census tract (CT) 5035.11 in District 7 rather than in District 6; block 2006 of CT 5035.11 should be in District 6, not in District 7. Plan 2C2 also has block 2007 of CT 5035.11 in District 7; this block should also be in District 6.*

*For nine of the ten plans (except for Plan 2C2) the block 2006 error mentioned above causes District 6 to be a non-continuous district.*

Because of the systematic error which has caused District 6 to be a non-continuous district with nine of the ten plans, would these nine plans be ineligible to be approved by the Board due to this non-continuous district factor?

I'm guessing that Mr. Skinnell and/or Mr. Chaffee would have an answer to this question.

If the answer is yes, the posted versions of my redistricting plans should be revised in a timely manner such that they adequately and accurately represent the boundaries of these plans among the seven proposed districts.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Nakamura